

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/784,209	TODA ET AL.	

Examiner	Art Unit	
Deborah K. Ware	1651	

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Deborah K. Ware.

(3) _____.

(2) Gary Tanigawa.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 16 February 2007

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

not discussed per se

Claims discussed:

claims 1-16

Prior art documents discussed:

not discussed per se

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Discussed changes to the claims which were brought up during a patentability conference held on February 15, 2007, to put the case into condition for allowance. No authorization was given because Applicants did not contact their Representative to authorize the changes..